

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trudemark Office Address. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/478,884	01/07/2000	KAZUYUKI KURODA	35.C14215	2326
5514	7590 08/16/2002			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEF NEW YORK	ELLER PLAZA , NY 10112		SIMONE, CATHERINE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	
			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2002	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

			Ses				
<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/478,884	KURODA ET AL.					
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Catherine Simone	1772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a viral rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated applicated abandonent whicles	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ition in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Ci	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr unt of the fee. The app originally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
<ul> <li>(a)</li></ul>	pelow);		mplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	S.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
∴ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23, 48-52 and 55-57</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
10 ⊠ Other: See Attachment	· · · · ·						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

**Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)** 

**Application No. 09/478,884** 





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 13, 48, 50 and 55 by adding proposed new limitation "a first region having a polymeric surface; and a second region provided on the polymeric surface of the first region, the second region" which requires a new search and/or further consideration..

Application/Control Number: 09/478,884

Art Unit: 1772

## **Advisory Action**

Applicant's arguments filed July 22, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant's arguments are drawn to a proposed claim amendment which is not being entered. Thus, the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims. Specifically, the applicant's argument drawn to the limitation "a first region having a polymeric surface; and a second region provided on the polymeric surface of the first region, the second region having tubular mespores" has not been entered. Therefore, the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims of record. Applicant's arguments of record are not found persuasive because they rely on the non-entered amendment. Applicant is referred back to the final rejection of record in Paper #12, mailed on May 20, 2002.

Page 2